An EDSL for KDB/Q

rationale, techniques and lessons learned

Tim Williams | October 2017





What is KDB/Q?

KDB/Q is an array processing language used for programming the proprietary KDB+ columnar database by Kx systems

- KDB is commonly used in the finance industry for time-series applications
- Q is dynamically typed, famously terse



Problem

We have a significant amount of Haskell logic that needs porting to KDB/Q, which is made especially difficult by incompatible syntax and semantics*

*We will spare you from having to read much KDB/Q code in this talk!



An EDSL for KDB/Q

Solution

- Haskell is expressive enough to enable the composition of Q programs within Haskell itself, using a (deeply) embedded domain specific language (EDSL)
- EDSLs should be cheaper to build and maintain than more traditional approaches to code generation.

We will also apply some Category Theory!





EDSL Rationale

Haskell syntax

- Iexical scoping
- standard operator precedence rules
- Choice of semantics
 - static types
 - referential transparency
 - null safety
 - IEEE-754 compliant operators
 - no expression size limits



EDSL Rationale

- The EDSL uses types to document interfaces and machine-check correctness
- Evaluate Q programs using Haskell or using KDB
 - KDB requires a license per machine
- Mix Q programs with Haskell code inside the same file
 - invaluable for testing
- A safe and restricted subset of Q
 - For example, we can offer termination guarantees



EDSL Rationale

An (easy) subset of Q

- The EDSL here is only concerned with composing *scalar* operations, which may or may not be applied to bulk data within KDB.
- Giving static types to bulk operations or queries, is a much harder problem and still an area of ongoing research[†]

[†] Modern Haskell is certainly capable of tackling this. For example, giving types to the relational algebra [1] and implicit lifting of scalar operations into bulk operations using rank polymorphism [2].





Key Features

• The front end syntax has both expressions and statements

- side-effecting primitives are primitive monadic instructions
- differentiate between pure functions and procedures
- pure functions exploited during optimisation
- Both explicit sharing and implicit (recovered) sharing
 - affords some manual control
 - non-trivial to preserve evaluation semantics in the presence of side-effects
- No attempt at overloading syntax for shallow/deep polymorphism



The EDSL inherits Haskell's syntax and operator precedence rules, which can significantly simplify mathematical expressions:

```
EDSL
f (x, y, z) = 2*x + 3*y < 4*z
Q
f:{[x; y; z] ((2*x) + (3*y)) < (4*z)};
```



Haskell's record syntax makes it easier to construct composite data:



Q

'pCcy'pSpread'pLo'pHi!('KRW;0.5;10f;20f);



Records are declared, which document and guarantee the presence of fields:

```
data Result = Result
  { rPrice :: Double
  , rDate :: Datetime
  }
$deriveView ''Result
scalePrice :: Q Double -> Q Result -> Q Result
scalePrice x = modL rPriceL (*x) -- Note: x is captured
```



Sum-types are useful to document and guarantee the handling of options. Enums are a special-case, which are handled and represented separately:



Arbitrary sum types are embedded using fold functions generated using Template Haskell:

```
data Either a b = Left a | Right b
$deriveElim ''Either
```

```
either
    :: (QTy a, QTy b, QTy r)
    => (Q a -> Q r)
    -> (Q b -> Q r)
    -> Q (Either a b)
    -> Q r
either f g e = elim e f g
```



Sharing can be made explicit, using the letQ primitive:



Impure code, such as code that use mutable references, has a monad:

```
-- / returns 6
impure :: QProg Int
impure = do
    r <- newRef 0
    mapM_ (f r) [1, 2, 3]
    readRef r
    where
    f :: Q (Ref Int) -> Q Int -> QProg ()
    f r x = modifyRef r (+x)
```



Techniques





Deep Embeddings

- A deeply embedded DSL yields an abstract-syntax-tree (AST) upon evaluation
- We can then analyse, optimise and compile the AST as is necessary

```
{-# LANGUAGE GADTs #-}
data Q :: * -> * where
    QVar :: QTy a => Var -> Q a
    QAtom :: QTy a => Atom a -> Q a
    QLam :: (QTy a, QTy b) => (Q a -> Q b) -> Q (a -> b)
    QApp :: (QTy a, QTy b) => Q (a -> b) -> Q a -> Q b
    ...
```



Haskell's type classes permit expressive adhoc overloading, making it possible to achieve a deep embedding without too much syntactic noise

```
instance Num a => Num (Q a) where
  (+) x y = QApp (QApp (QAtom PrimAdd) x) y
  fromInteger = QAtom . ADb1 . fromInteger
```

instance Fractional a => Fractional (Q a) where
fromRational = QAtom . ADbl . fromRational



λ> 1 + 2 :: Q Double
QApp (QApp (QAtom PrimAdd) (QAtom 1.0)) (QAtom 2.0)





Higher-order abstract syntax

- Re-uses abstraction and binding from the host language
- HOAS is useful to reify functions in embedded programs
- GADTs can be used to preserve type information
- Beware of exotic terms‡

```
{-# LANGUAGE GADTs #-}
data Q :: * -> * where
    QLam :: (QTy a, QTy b) => (Q a -> Q b) -> Q (a -> b)
    QVar :: QTy a => Id -> Q a -- ^ to convert out of HOAS
    ...
```

‡We must not perform case analysis on types used as inputs to a binding function!



We use a Monad in the EDSL in order to sequence side effects and support mutable references

```
type QProg a = Prog Stmt (Q a)
data Stmt :: * -> * where
    -- References
    NewRef :: Q a -> Stmt (Q (Ref a))
    ReadRef :: Q (Ref a) -> Stmt (Q a)
    WriteRef :: Q (Ref a) -> Q a -> Stmt (Q ())
    ...
```



The *Operational* package allows us to reify monads, similarly to a Free Monad, but with better asymptotics [3]

```
data Prog ins a where
  Return :: a -> Prog ins a
  (:>>=) :: Prog ins a -> (a -> Prog ins b) -> Prog ins b
  instr :: ins (Prog ins) a -> Prog ins a
```

```
instance Monad (Prog ins) where
return = Return
(>>=) = :>>=
```



Meta-programming in the EDSL is achieved just by using functions in the host language

Q (a -> b) -- ^ embedded function Q a -> Q b -- ^ meta-function



Lenses derived using template haskell

priceBidL :: Q Price :-> Q Double
resultPriceL :: Q Result :-> Q Price

Lens computations are meta-programs which are computed at staging-time

getL :: (f :-> a) -> f -> a
setL :: (f :-> a) -> a -> f -> f
compose :: (b :-> c) -> (a :-> b) -> (a :-> c)



The Reader monad can be used as a meta-program to thread values through without any runtime cost

type QProgR r a = ReaderT (Q r) (Prog Stmt) (Q a)
runReaderT :: ReaderT r m a -> r -> m a



- Often need to deal with untyped data at the interface boundaries
- Use a *Dynamic* wrapper type to contain these untrusted values
- Unpacking the dynamic value forces a runtime type check

data Dynamic

```
class QTy a => HasDynamic a where
pack :: Q a -> Q Dynamic
unpack :: Q Dynamic -> Q (Maybe a)
```



- Use QuickCheck to generate and interpret random expressions
- Test for properties that must hold over the results
- Build an evaluator for the DSL and use it to verify the assumed semantics and compilation output





Using an evaluator and the compiled output, we perform a 2-way comparison:





Generating test expressions

- Generating expressions of arbitrary type difficult
 - requires constraint solving
- But very easy to do if we limit the types. For example:
 - double arithmetic (with infinities, NaNs and zeros)
 - boolean algebra
 - list operations
 - dictionary operations

$$y'_{n} g = u^{2} + 3\sqrt{u} - \Lambda \quad u = x^{4} + \Lambda g'_{x} = ,$$

$$' = (u^{2} + 3\sqrt{u} - \Lambda)_{u} (x^{4} + \Lambda)'_{x} = (2u^{3} + u)_{u} (x^{4} + \Lambda)'_{x} = (2u^{4} + u)_{u} (x^{4} + \Lambda)'_{u} = (2u^{4} + u)_{u} = (2u^{4} + u)_{u} (x^{4} + \Lambda)'_{u} = (2u^{4} + u)_{u} (x^{4} + \Lambda)'_{u} = (2u^{4} + u)_{u} (x^{4} + \Lambda)'_{u} = (2u^{4} + u)_{u} =$$



Embedding Algebraic Data Types

A type class defines which types can be embedded into a Q expression:

```
class QTy a where
```

toQ :: a -> Q a

```
-- An example Q encoding for a sum type
instance QTy a => QTy (Maybe a) where
    toQ (Just x) = variant "Just" (toQ x)
    toQ Nothing = variant "Nothing" unit
```

```
-- An example encoding for a record
instance QTy Point where
   toQ (Point x y) = record [ ("x", toQ d1)
       , ("y", toQ d2)
]
```



A "View" type class allows us to use pattern matching for product types [4]:

```
-- | for pattern-matching on tuples and records
class QTy a => View a where
   type Rep a
   toView :: Q a -> Rep a
   fromView :: Rep a -> Q a
```

This works well when combined with the "ViewPatterns" GHC extension:

swap :: Q (a, b) -> Q (b, a)
swap (toView -> (a, b)) = fromView (b, a)

Template Haskell is used to generate instances for arbitrary records.



An "Elim" type class allows us to eliminate sum-types, as one normally would using case analysis [4]:

-- / for folding/eliminating data-types
class QTy a => Elim a r where
 type Eliminator a r
 elim :: Q a -> Eliminator a r

The instance for forall a. Maybe a is as follows:

instance (QTy a, QCond r) => Elim (Maybe a) r where
 type Eliminator (Maybe a) r = r -> (Q a -> r) -> r
 elim ma b f = cond (isNothing ma) b \$ f (fromJust ma)

Template Haskell is used to generate instances for arbitrary sum types



Problems

- We need to port a significant amount of Haskell code to the EDSL that makes heavy use of lexical scoping and closures, which Q does not support
- Q has expression size limits for branches of a conditional, which is most easily worked around by *eta-expansion* and lambda-lifting

Solution

Transform the AST to remove any lexically captured variables



Luckily, Q does support partial application, so we can employ a very simple conversion to close all "open" lambdas containing free-variables:

- calculate the free variables bottom-up
- add the captured variables to the parameter lists and partially apply the additional arguments



We have

 $f = \langle x - \rangle \langle y - \rangle x + y$ -- ^ not supported in Q

We want

 $f = \langle x - \rangle (\langle x y - \rangle x + y) x - - \wedge supported in Q$



Closure conversion

Problem

- How can we achieve separation-of-concerns without nested folds?
- How can we avoid specifying every case?

```
-- WARNING: This has quadratic complexity!
closeExpr :: QExpr -> QExpr
closeExpr (QLam vs e) =
    let e' = closeExpr e
        vs' = Set.toList $ freeVars e' \\ (Set.fromList vs)
        in QApply (QLam (vs' ++ vs) e') vs'
...
freeVars :: OExpr -> Set Var
```



Solution

Use Functor fixed-points and recursion schemes!

- Add principled structure to our traversals
- Achieve compositional data-types and traversal code
- Avoid boilerplate traversal code using Foldable and Traversable





Fixed points of Functors

An idea from category theory which gives:

- data-type generic traversals
- compositional data-types
- especially useful for annotations and recovering sharing



-- | the least fixpoint of functor f
newtype Fix f = Fix { unFix :: f (Fix f) }

A functor f is a data-type of kind * -> * together with an fmap function.

 $Fix f \cong f(f(f(f...\text{etc}$



A *catamorphism* (cata meaning "downwards") is a generalisation of the concept of a fold [5,6]

- models the fundamental pattern of (internal) iteration
- a catamorphism will traverse bottom-up, however top-down or a combination is possible using a function codomain
- category theory shows us how to define it data-type generically for a functor fixed-point

cata :: Functor f => (f a -> a) -> Fix f -> a



```
cata :: Functor f => (f a -> a) -> Fix f -> a
cata alg = alg . fmap (cata alg) . unFix
```





```
Pattern Functor AST
```

```
type QExpr = Fix QExprF
```

```
data QExprF r
   = QVar Var
   | QPrim PrimOp
   | QAtom Atom
   | QLam [Name] r
   | QApp r r
   | ...
```



We will use a *zygomorphism* to factor out the free variable calculation as an auxiliary algebra

```
closeExpr :: QExpr -> QExpr
closeExpr = zygo fvsAlg mainAlg
```

```
mainAlg :: QExprF (QExpr, Set Var) -> QExpr
fvsAlg :: QExprF (Set Var) -> Set Var
```

```
-- / semi-mutual recursion

zygo :: Functor f =>

    (f b -> b) -> (f (a, b) -> a) -> Fix f -> a
```



Zygomorphism

A zygomorphism just adds additional structure to a catamorphism

```
-- / semi-mutual recursion
zygo :: Functor f =>
        (f b -> b) -> (f (a, b) -> a) -> Fix f -> a
zygo f g = fst . cata (algZygo f g)
algZygo :: Functor f =>
        (f b -> b) ->
        (f (a, b) -> a) ->
        f (a, b) -> (a, b)
algZygo f g = g &&& f . fmap snd
```



We have O(n) complexity, separation of concerns and minimal boilerplate

```
-- / close all Lambdas
mainAlg :: QExprF (QExpr, Set Var) -> QExpr
mainAlg (QLam vs (e, fvs)) =
    let vs' = Set.toList $ fvs \\ (Set.fromList vs)
    in Fix $ QApply (Fix $ QLam (vs' ++ vs) e) vs'
mainAlg e = Fix e
```

```
-- / gather free variables
fvsAlg :: QExprF (Set Var) -> Set Var
fvsAlg (QVar v) = Set.singleton v
fvsAlg (QLam vs e) = (fold e) \\ (Set.fromList vs)
fvsAlg e = fold e
```



Problem

Q has a limit of only 8 function parameters.
 Therefore we cannot simply add each captured variable as a new parameter, we will soon hit this limit

Solution

- Pass and extend a single environment, a linked-list of frames
- Add an environment identifier to each parameter list and partially apply the functions with an appropriately extended environment
- Rewrite any free variable references to index into this environment



The main algebra now needs to produce a function, which when called with an initial environment, will traverse top-down passing and extending it as necessary

```
type Env = Map Id Path
mainAlg :: QExprF (Env -> QExpr, Set Var) -> Env -> QExpr
mainAlg (QLam vs (ef, fvs)) env =
    let (e, envArg) = envExtend vs ef fvs env
    in Fix $ QApply (Fix $ QLam (EnvId : vs) e) [envArg]
mainAlg (QVar idn) env
    | Just path <- Map.lookup idn env = envElem path
mainAlg e env = Fix $ fmap (($ env) . fst) e</pre>
```



- EDSLs are quick to build relative to other code generation techniques
- EDSLs let us take back some control over syntax and semantics
- Model and test any assumed semantics with an evaluator
 - quickcheck is invaluable
- Recursion schemes are a principled and effective way to structure traversals and lessen boilerplate
- It's very difficult to generate readable code
 - especially since most names are generated



[1] L. Augustsson and M. Agren, "Experience Report: Types for a Relational Algebra Library", Proc. 9th Symposium on Haskell, pp. 127-132, 2016.

[2] J. Gibbons, "APLicative Programming with Naperian Functors", Proc. Work. Type-Driven Development, pp 13-14, 2016.

[3] https://wiki.haskell.org/Operational

 [4] G. Giorgidze, T. Grust, A. Ulrich, and J. Weijers, "Algebraic data types for language-integrated queries", Proc. 2013 Work. Data driven Funct. Program. - DDFP '13, p. 5, 2013.

[5] J. Gibbons, "Origami programming.", The Fun of Programming, Palgrave, 2003.

[6] E. Meijer, "Functional Programming with Bananas , Lenses , Envelopes and Barbed Wire", 1991.



This presentation will soon be available on the conference website at the following link:

https://skillsmatter.com/conferences/8522-haskell-exchange-2017#skillscasts

The slides will be available here:

http://www.timphilipwilliams.com/slides/AnEDSLForKDBQ.pdf

